

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bec 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ucpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,069	02/01/2001	Gerard A. Mourou	UMJ-939-R	1906
7	590 03/15/2005		EXAM	INER
LINDA M. DESCHERE			EVANS. GEOFFREY S	
,	CKEY & PIERCE, P.L C. RATE DRIVE, SUITE 400		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
TROY, MI 4			1725	
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		<i>l</i> i			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-			
	09/775,069	MOUROU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Geoffrey S Evans	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	<u>-</u>					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u>	6 May 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 46-61 is/are pending in the applica	tion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>46-61</u> is/are rejected.						
	, <u> </u>					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·		,				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
 B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20040721</u>. 	08) 5)	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/775,069

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. This action is in response to the petition reviving this application on 6 May 2004.

2. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1), a supplemental reissue oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) must be received before this reissue application can be allowed.

Claims 46-67 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251. See 37 CFR 1.175. The nature of the defect is set forth above.

Receipt of an appropriate supplemental oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) will overcome this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 251. An example of acceptable language to be used in the supplemental oath/declaration is as follows:

"Every error in the patent which was corrected in the present reissue application, and is not covered by a prior oath/declaration submitted in this application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant."

3. In response to the PROTEST of 11 June 2003, in general it is not persuasive. The phrase "directing the beam to the material" has support in figures 1 and 6A. Clearly the beam cannot by focused on or beneath the beam without directing the laser beam to the workpiece. The Protestor's further argument that "focusing said beam to a point at or beneath the surface of the material" is an essential element of the invention is incorrect. In contrast, the specification clearly describes to one of ordinary skill in the art that it is not the degree of focus that causes ablation, it is the fluence (Joules/cm²) level that controls ablation for a given pulse width (see column 5, lines 60-65 and column 6, lines 1-3 of Mourou et al. in U.S. Patent No. 5,656,186, in which the area of fluence is smaller than the beam spot size, i.e. focus). Mourou et al. in U.S. Patent No. 5,656,186

Application/Control Number: 09/775,069

Art Unit: 1725

further describes in column 6, lines 42-49 alternative configurations for practicing the invention in which not all of the descriptions require focusing the beam. Since Mourou et al. refers in column 4 of the specification to previous U.S. Patent No. 5,235,606 (in which femtosecond pulses are emitted with an average energy of up to 15 Joules), it is within the level of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a laser cavity designed to emit a collimated beam with a fluence of at least 1-5 J/cm² to practice the instant invention without focusing the beam after it exits the laser cavity. However in claim 62 recitation of "scanning the beam along a predetermined path beneath the surface of the material..." requires focusing since to direct the laser beam so it is beneath the surface of the workpiece is only supported sufficiently in the originally filed specification by focusing the laser beam beneath the surface of the material and also for a "Rayleigh range" to exist the beam must be focused.

Page 3

- 4. Claims 62 and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In claim 62 there is no disclosure of focusing the beam, but that is the only method disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,656,186 for directing the beam "beneath the surface of the material ...". Regarding claim 67, there is no disclosure in the originally filed specification that any of the wavelengths has a "central wavelength".
- 5. Claims 46-67 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

Application/Control Number: 09/775,069 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

Receipt of an appropriate supplemental oath/declaration under 3 CFR 1.175(b)(1) will overcome this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 251.

6. The Srinivasan et al. article "Ablation and etching of polymethylmethacrylate by very short (160 fs) ultraviolet (308 nm) laser pulses" (cited on the IDS of paper no. 20040721) discloses a fluence level for laser etching only up to 2.5 J/cm² article.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Geoffrey S Evans whose telephone number is (571)-272-1174. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 6:30AM to 4:00 PM, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571)-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)-872-9306.

GSE

Deffy L Evans
Geoffrey S. Evans
Primary Examiner
Group 1700